ISLE OF	
REPORT TO:	EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
DATE:	14 JULY 2014
SUBJECT:	CAPITAL STRATEGY 2014 AND CAPITAL PROGRAMME
PORTFOLIO HOLDER(S):	CLLR H E JONES
HEAD OF SERVICE:	RICHARD MICKLEWRIGHT
REPORT AUTHOR:	RICHARD MICKLEWRIGHT
TEL:	2601
E-MAIL:	RICHARDMICKLEWRIGHT@ANGLESEY.GOV.UK
LOCAL MEMBERS:	

A - Recommendation/s and reason/s

- (a) Approve the Capital Strategy attached;
- (b) Note the figures on available capital funding and approve the provisional figure of £3.953m for planning purposes for the 2015/16 Capital Programme.
- B What other options did you consider and why did you reject them and/or opt for this option?

C - Why is this a decision for the Executive?

The matter is delegated to the Executive.

CH - Is this decision consistent with policy approved by the full Council?

The matter is delegated to the Executive.

D - Is this decision within the budget approved by the Council?

The decision relates to the setting of the budget by the Council.

DD - Wh	o did you consult?	What did they say?				
1	Chief Executive / Strategic Leadership					
	Team (SLT) (mandatory)					
2	Finance / Section 151 (mandatory)	S151 Officer report.				
3	Legal / Monitoring Officer (mandatory)					
4	Human Resources (HR)					
5	Property					
6	Information Communication					
	Technology (ICT)					
7	Scrutiny					
8	Local Members					
9	Any external bodies / other/s					
E - Risk	ks and any mitigation (if relevant)					
1	Economic					
2	Anti-poverty					
3	Crime and Disorder					
4	Environmental					
5	Equalities					
6	Outcome Agreements					
7	Other					
F- App	F - Appendices:					
Арр	endix A – Capital Strategy					

FF - Background papers (please contact the author of the Report for any further information):

BACKGROUND

The purpose of the report is to seek the adoption of a Capital Strategy for the Council and to agree a provisional figure to be used as a basis for starting the process for agreeing a Capital Programme for the coming years.

CAPITAL STRATEGY

The Council has not had a formal Capital Strategy for some time and the adoption of one now would enhance the Council's financial management process.

The Capital Strategy is attached as Appendix A. In summary, it sets a process for identifying and putting forward for Member consideration a schedule of possible capital schemes for the coming year but set in the context of how the proposed schemes help to deliver the corporate priorities (or other statutory requirements) that Members' have set for the Council.

The Strategy also requires that regular reporting be brought before Members so that there is full transparency and appropriate governance agreements around the delivery of agreed Capital schemes.

CAPITAL FUNDING

In the past, the Council has agreed a Capital Programme as an annual event, akin to the Revenue Budget, with each year being taken in isolation of other years.

The Capital proposals being brought forward will change this to a more medium term planning arrangement that looks ahead over the coming few years. This accords better with the nature of capital schemes, which often run over more than one financial year due to issues of size and/or complexity.

A schedule of possible schemes for Members to consider for implementation from 1 April 2015 will be developed over the summer and will be presented to the Executive in late summer or early autumn broadly in time with this timetable for setting the revenue budget also.

The Council's Capital Programme is funded from:-

- (a) Capital grants;
- (b) Capital receipts (i.e. sales of assets);
- (c) Revenue contributions; and
- (ch) Borrowing.

Any proposed schemes brought forward to Executive later will include details of any specific capital grants, revenue contributions by the service or borrowing where they are relevant to the funding of any proposed scheme.

The potential funding available for allocation to capital schemes at this time are as follows over the years 2015/16 to 2018/19.

	2014/15 £000	2015/16 £000	2016/17 £000	2017/18 £000	2018/19 £000
General Capital Grants	0	1355	1355	1355	1355
Capital Receipts	479	408	380	460	0
Revenue Contributions	0	0	0	0	0
Leisure Improvement Reserve	196	0	0	0	0
Supported Borrowing	0	2190	2190	2190	2190
Total Funding					
available for Allocation	676	3953	3925	4005	3543

Below is a table showing the External Borrowing for the Authority from 2009 to present:-

	Total external borrowing £'000
31 March 2009	90,122
31 March 2010	97,615
31 March 2011	102,608
31 March 2012	96,103
31 March 2013	96,097
31 March 2014	89,590
NB: All borrowing was from	the PWLB

The Leisure Improvement Reserve is a reserve that has been built up on the years for improvements to leisure centres. Supported borrowing relates to the funding of new schemes into the future and pending a decision on scheme approvals has been based on previous years' experience.

ASSETS

The following information was received from Property Services:-

Currently, operational assets may become available over the next few years through the reorganisation of services and the Property service will need to be equipped to process any surplus property in order to provide the best commercial realisation of its asset for the benefit of the Authority.

Presently, the Property service faces numerous challenges when attempting to dispose of assets for best consideration, due to the intervention of local community groups, councils and individuals who wish to retain these buildings for community purposes and uses.

APPENDIX A



CYNGOR SIR YNYS MÔN ISLE OF ANGLESEY COUNTY COUNCIL

CAPITAL STRATEGY 2014

Isle of Anglesey County Council County Offices Llangefni Anglesey LL77 7TW

Telephone: 01248 750057

Fax: 01248 752696

CONTENTS

- 1. Introduction and Context
 - 1.1 Introduction
 - 1.4 Corporate Framework
 - 1.7 Corporate Vision
- 2 Corporate Asset Policy
 - 2.1 Corporate Property Asset Objectives
- **3** Preparing the Capital Programme and Allocating Capital Resources
 - 3.3 Bidding Process
 - 3.9 Option Appraisals
 - 3.13 Corporate Priorities
 - 3.15 Compliance with Statute and Regulations
 - 3.17 Funding the Scheme
 - 3.18 The impact of the Scheme on the Revenue Budget
 - 3.20 Project Management

APPENDICES

Appendix A Capital Bid Form

Appendix B Terms of Reference of Corporate Land & Built Assets Group

1. INTRODUCTION AND CONTEXT

Introduction

- **1.1** This document sets out the Isle of Anglesey County Council's (IOACC) approach to the management of its property assets and the allocation and management of capital resources. By effective management of property assets the Council aims to have the right space, at the right time, in the right place and at the right cost to properly support its Corporate Priorities and Objectives.
- **1.2** Primary responsibility for the Asset Management and Capital Strategy falls to the Strategic Leadership Team (SLT) and the Capital Programme Group (CPG) which are chaired by the Chief Executive and Head of Function (Resources) respectively.
- **1.3** The Council's philosophy is to maintain a strong, independent, effective and ethical local government for the people, representing all people and addressing needs. The Council will continue to provide leadership by being innovative and by vigorously representing local needs at regional and national level. We will set the pace for change, co-operation between all the public agencies and seek to work closely with the voluntary and business communities.

Corporate Framework

- **1.4** The Corporate Plan 2013/2017 sets out the Council's vision for the organisation. It shows how we will get to where we want to go over the next few years. It also sets the context for the Council's services plans and individual work plans that turn this into a reality.
- **1.5** The Plan is a template for the Council but it is also an important tool to show staff, residents and partners where the Isle of Anglesey County Council is heading during 2013/2017.
- **1.6** The Council has in place a wide range of policies and rules on standards of behaviour that, together, add up to an extensive range of ethical policies governing the way the Council conducts its business.

Corporate Vision

- **1.7** The Improvement and Transformation Plan sets out the Council's vision for the organisation. It shows how the Council will get to where it wants to go over the next four years.
- **1.8** The Council's Corporate Plan priorities are to:-
 - transform Older Adult Social Care;
 - regenerate our Communities and develop the economy;
 - improve Education, Skills and modernise our Schools;
 - increase our Housing options and reduce poverty;
 - transform our Leisure and Library provision;
 - become Customer, Citizen and Community focussed;
 - transform our Information and Communication Technologies.
- **1.9** The political and managerial structures of the Council are designed to facilitate delivery of key objectives to achieve these priorities. Targets underpinning the objectives are set out in the Corporate Plan. These targets are then disseminated through Heads of Service to senior managers and staff through the preparation of Service Plans and the Council's staff appraisal process. This cascading principle similarly ensures that staff are aware of how their roles fit into the overall direction of the Council.
- **1.10** In addition to the Corporate Plan priorities, the Council ensures that appropriate capital resources are directed at its existing assets to ensure that these are of good condition and to achieve best use of these assets to deliver better services.

- **1.11** In order to ensure both consistency and delivery of the Corporate Plan priorities the role of the (SLT) is pivotal to the allocation of resources.
- **1.12** When considering the draft Capital Programme and assessing relative priorities, each member of the SLT is expected to have regard to identified service priorities and Corporate Documents and Strategies, including the Asset Management and Capital Strategy, Housing Strategy, Local Transport Plan and the Medium Term Financial Strategy.
- 1.13 The SLT of the Council is:-
 - Chief Executive;
 - Deputy Chief Executive;
 - Corporate Director Sustainable Development;
 - Corporate Director Community;
 - Corporate Director Lifelong Learning.
- **1.14** The SLT is supported by the S151 Officer and the Monitoring Officer.
- **1.15** The areas of responsibility and powers of these Officers and their staff are set out in the Constitution of the Council.

2. CORPORATE ASSET POLICY

2.1 Corporate Property Asset Objectives

The Council holds property assets for the following reasons:-

- Delivery of service;
- Economic Development purposes;
- Revenue income generation;
- Strategic acquisition for redevelopment purposes;
- As a result of a S106 agreement;
- Heritage assets;
- Community assets;
- An extensive smallholdings estate.

3. PREPARING THE CAPITAL PROGRAMME AND ALLOCATING CAPITAL RESOURCES

- **3.1** Isle of Anglesey Council is a relatively small Authority and, as such, has limited capital and revenue resources. It is vital that the Authority ensures that it has a robust decision making process to ensure that resources are allocated in the most effective way.
- **3.2** In order that scarce resources are used in an efficient and effective way, Capital Bid Forms are completed for all projects under consideration that would require capital investment. A scoring methodology for capital bids has been developed that ensures that capital resources are directed to those projects that accord well with our Corporate Plan Priorities and other relevant issues. The bidding process is explained in detail below. A Capital Bid Form is attached as Appendix A.

Bidding Process

- **3.3** The CPG oversees the process for assessing capital bids and preparing the capital programme. The CPG consists of the following Officers:-
 - Head of Function (Resources) (Chairperson);
 - Accountancy Services Manager;
 - Capital Accountant;
 - Strategic Director (Sustainable Development);
 - Corporate Programme Manager (Transformation).

- **3.4** The CPG has the role of receiving and collating capital bid proposals, processing them and taking a draft Capital Programme forward through the approvals process. Monitoring of the approved programme throughout the year is also carried out by the CPG.
- **3.5** The annual capital bidding process incorporates Prince 2 Project Management methodology and is outlined below:-
- **3.6** Officers are asked to consider potential projects for the forthcoming five years on an annual basis. Occasionally, however, additional "emergency" projects require approval during the year; in these cases, the above procedures are still followed but over a shorter timescale, e.g. two months.
- **3.7** The CPG assesses the bids and business case of each bid. The CPG will ask officers to justify and explain any bids where necessary. The CPG prioritises the bids using evaluation criteria incorporating scoring against the Corporate Plan and other important issues and any other relevant information/priorities. Bids not scoring well may not be recommended for inclusion in the programme.
- **3.8** Based on the evaluation exercise and an assessment from Financial Management regarding the level of available capital resources and the costs of financing the projects, the CPG then draws up a draft programme that it recommends to the Heads of Service group and the SLT and subsequent to that, to the Leader/Deputy Leaders Group or to the Informal Executive meeting. The SLT, the Leader and the Deputy Leaders will discuss the proposed programme and make any changes necessary based on their view of the Corporate Plan priorities and any other issues they wish to address.

Option Appraisals

- **3.9** The scoring mechanism operated by the CPG to assess potential capital schemes is based on assessing:-
 - Its contribution to delivering corporate priorities;
 - The level of corporate risk that the scheme mitigates;
 - The need to do the scheme to comply with statute, health and safety requirements, DDA responsibilities or similar needs to mitigate challenge;
 - The level of external or joint/matched funding that is potentially available;
 - The favourable impact on the revenue budget or "invest to save" potential of the scheme.
- **3.10** These criteria reflect the need to focus capital resources on projects and schemes that deliver the objectives of the Authority, mitigate its major risks and provide support or reduce the financial pressures on the revenue budget. These are the key considerations to ensure that resources are focused on the primary needs of the Council.
- **3.11** In addition to these criteria, an assessment of the deliverability risk will be undertaken for each scheme, once approved (using RAG analysis), to provide an overview of the schemes' progress against targets and to identify where revisions to targets and budgets may be needed.
- 3.12 Guidelines for the scoring of each criterion are detailed below:-

Corporate Priorities

3.13 No scheme can progress to full scoring unless the Head of Service is certain that the scheme will help to deliver against the corporate priorities and is in line with the corporate objectives of the Authority. This factor will be scored on a range of 0 (no relevance to priorities) to 10 (critically important to the delivery of priorities).

Corporate Risk

- **3.14** This score reflects the level of risk reduction that implementing the scheme will have on the corporate risks faced by the Authority. Where possible, the corporate risk register should be referenced. Reputational risk and business continuity risk are also considered in this section. This factor will be scored using the following ranges:-
 - 0 = No risk at all
 - 1-3 = a low level likelihood and/or a minimal impact
 - 3-6 = A probable likelihood and/or a medium impact
 - 6-10 = A most likely event and/or a high level of impact

Compliance with statute and regulations

- **3.15** The County Council has obligations it must comply with both under statute in the provision of services and regulations, such as Health and Safety, Disability Discrimination and the requirements of the Information Commissioner.
- **3.16** It is essential that these duties are discharged to avoid any challenge and potential prosecution. In a similar way to the risk management framework used for the Corporate Risk register, the level of likelihood and impact of these challenges is considered and reflected in the score.

Funding the scheme

- **3.17** Where external funding or potential to share funding (and risk) to deliver the scheme is considered probable then a score subject to the level of support and the likelihood of achieving the funding is made.
 - 0 = No funding at all, total funding by the County Council Capital funds
 - 1 5 = up to 50% of the funding is likely to come from external sources or the scheme is a joint project with another organisation
 - 6-10 = A high level of funding and very likely to be achieved, up to 10 for fully externally funded schemes

The impact of the scheme on the revenue budget

- **3.18** Schemes that can demonstrate that they will mitigate pressures for the future on the revenue budget or could generate an income (invest to save) should, in the current financial position, be encouraged.
- **3.19** If there is a significant effect on the revenue budget, then the scheme will score 10. If there is no effect on the revenue budget, then the scheme will score 0.

Each scheme's impact is assessed and a relevant score that reflects the revenue effects is given.

3.20 The identification of the potential risks of a project is a part of the capital bidding process and officers must consider all these issues when submitting a bid. Service Plans also consider any potential risks to service delivery that may need addressing and the scoring mechanism recognises projects that have been identified through this process. The bid form also factors in the risk of the project itself into the scoring process. The Capital Programme Progress Report, taken quarterly to Executive and Transformation Programme Board, also highlights any risks associated with a delay to the completion of a project.

Project Management

- **3.21** All new Programmes and Projects require the authorisation of one of the three corporate *Transformation Programme Boards* to start, unless the project can meet all the following criteria *(in which case it can be authorised by the HoS and S151 Officer):-*
 - can be wholly delivered and maintained within the Service's own budget;
 - does not require support from another Service area (e.g. ICT, HR, Finance, Legal); and
 - all risks are identified as being internal to their own Service.

This does not apply to rolling programmes of capital work e.g. school kitchen refurbishments, but will cover any new project seeking to secure capital investment from the Authority.

Good project management practice is important to the effective delivery of capital projects. Resources limited in one way or another place constraints on the work to be accomplished and underline the need for special management control - hence the need for Project Management.

The initial evaluation of proposed Capital bids will include an assessment of the robustness of the proposed project management arrangements, taken from the Project Initiation Document (PID). Proposed schemes that demonstrate a good and well-planned project management approach will score 10; otherwise 0.

Support for developing a PID is available from the Corporate Programme Management Office (CPMO) at <u>dexce@anglesey.gov.uk</u>.

3.22 De Minimus

It is usual for an authority to operate a de minimus value below which schemes will not be considered for capital funding. In view of its size, Isle of Anglesey County Council operates a de minimums value of £30,000.

4. DELIVERING THE CAPITAL PROGRAMME

4.1 These updates, combined with the latest financial position, target dates and risk assessments for each project and a summary of the programme overall, form the report that is then made by the lead officer to the CPG, SLT and Members. A "traffic light" system is used, whereby schemes are coloured depending on their latest position as follows:-

Green	=	to be completed on target
Amber	=	project delayed or overspend expected
Red	=	fundamental problem with scheme and/or significant overspend

- **4.2** The Lead Officer for each project is accountable to the CPG for the effective delivery of their project. The CPG will monitor and assess progress and compliance with the original business case and may recommend remedial action, if it considers it appropriate, to SLT. The S151 Officer will report on performance against the Capital Programme to Members including, where necessary, commentary on performance against objectives and any consequential action that would be desirable.
- **4.3** Although the Council's Capital Programme relates to assets over and above simply its land and building assets, the effective management of such assets is important and will be a significant feature of the Capital Programme. The CPG, therefore, works in conjunction with the Council's Corporate Land and Built Asset Group (CLBAG) which has been tasked with managing and reviewing the Council's Asset Management Plan, including the delivery of enhanced efficiency and effectiveness. The terms of reference of the CLBAG are attached as Appendix B.

CAPITAL FUNDING: BID FORM

PROJECT TITLE:							
SPONSORING DEPARTMENT/AGENCY:							
SENIOR RESPONSIBLE OFFICER:							
SIGNED:	DATE:						
APPROVING HEAD OF SERVICE:							

SIGNED:

Section 1: Project Background, Strategic Context and Need

• Explain the background to the proposal including its relevance to strategic aims and policy objectives.

DATE:

- Identify the key stakeholders and explain their commitment and any outstanding issues.
- As specifically as possible, explain the nature of the needs or demands that are to be addressed, and detail any deficiencies in existing service provision, or any statutory obligation that would be not otherwise satisfied.
- Include suitable quantification of needs/demands/deficiencies where possible.

Section 2: State Objectives and Constraints

- Explain and list the project objectives in specific measurable terms.
- Include quantifiable targets where possible.
- Identify any likely constraints to the project e.g. timing issues, legal requirements, professional standards, planning constraints and so on.

Project Objectives	Measurable Targets
1.	1.1
	1.2
2.	2.1
	2.2
3.	3.1
	3.2
4.	4.1
	4.2
Constraints	Measures to address constraints
1.	
2.	
3.	

Section 3: Identify and Shortlist the Options

- Consider alternative ways to meet the objectives e.g. variations in scale, quality, technique, location, timing etc.
- Start with an initial 'long list' of options and sift them to provide a shortlist. Record all the options considered and the reasons for rejecting those not shortlisted.
- The shortlist of options should include a baseline Status Quo or 'Do Minimum' option and a suitable number of alternative 'Do Something' options (usually at least two).

Option Number/ Description	Shortlisted (S) or Rejected (R)	Reason for Rejection
1) Status Quo	S	
2)		

Section 4: Monetary Costs and Benefits of Options

- 1) Appraisals should include <u>all</u> the costs and benefits to the council arising from the project, not just those to a particular organisation or sector e.g. all costs and benefits to the public, private and third sectors should be included.
- 2) Costs and benefits should be valued in economic cost terms, which are generally reflected by using current market prices.
- 3) <u>All</u> the assets and other resources employed by each option should be costed, even if they have already been purchased. This is because they have an opportunity cost value i.e. if not used in this project they could be put to an alternative use.
- 4) Calculate the Net Present Cost (NPC) for each option:-
 - Use the NPC spreadsheet and append the NPC calculation for each option to the pro forma.
 - In the simplest cases, the table below may be used instead. Create a table for each option, adjusting the no. of columns to reflect the years of the project's life.
- 5) Treat the current financial year as Year 0.
- 6) Set out the expected capital costs and annual revenue costs for each option.
- 7) Express the figures in real terms i.e. held constant at today's prices.
- 8) The checklist of typical costs.
- **9)** Financial savings arising from an option will be reflected in its lower costs compared to the Status Quo. Do not double count by also including them separately as benefits.
- **10)** Other monetised benefits may be taken into account but are likely to be rare in small expenditure cases. Most benefits will be covered in the non-monetary Section 5 below.
- **11)** For particularly uncertain cost assumptions, consider using sensitivity analysis to illustrate how NPCs and option rankings are affected by varying these assumptions.
- **12)** For more in-depth guidance, see <u>Step 5</u> and <u>Step 8</u>.

Option 1: Status Quo	Yr O	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5	Totals
Capital Costs							
(a) Total Capital Cost							
Revenue Costs							
(b) Total Revenue Cost							

(c) Total Cost = (a) + (b)							
(Ch) Disc Factor @ 3.5%pa	1.0000	.9662	.9335	.9019	.8714	.8420	
(e) NPC = (c) x (Ch)							
Cost Assumptions:							

Section 5: Non-Monetary Costs and Benefits

- List and describe the relevant non-monetary costs and benefits e.g. impacts on health, education, environment, transport, equality, sustainability etc.
- Use a table such as the one below to show how each factor impacts on each option.
- Quantify the impacts if possible and highlight important differences between the options.

Non-Monetary Factor	Impact on Option 1	Impact on Option 2	Impact on Option 3	Impact on Option 4
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				

Section 6: Assess Risks and Uncertainties

- Identify and describe the risks that the project may face.
- Explain how these compare under the various options using the table below.
- Identify measures to ensure that each risk is appropriately managed and mitigated.
- Explain any contingency allowances included for risks in the option costings.
- More sophisticated optimism bias adjustments should not generally be required but may be relevant in some cases e.g. ICT projects or cases with significant capital costs.

Likely impact of Risk H/M/L				Risk	State how the options compare and		
Risk Description	Opt 1	Opt 2	Opt 3	Opt 4	identify relevant risk management / mitigation measures		
1.							
2.							
3.							
4.							
Overall Risk (H/M/L):							

KEY: H = high M = medium L = low N/A = Not Applicable

Section 7: Summarise the Option Comparisons and Identify a Preferred Option

- Summarise the main differences between the options e.g. in terms of key assumptions, NPCs, nonmonetary impacts, risks and other factors.
- Identify which option is preferred and explain why.

Section 8: Assess Affordability and Funding Arrangements

- Set out the annual capital and resource requirements for the preferred option, as per the table below.
- Figures should allow for inflation, contingencies and (where relevant) optimism bias.
- Resource figures should include appropriate allowance for depreciation/impairment.
- Identify expected sources of funding and the degree to which each funder is committed.
- Consult a finance specialist if necessary.

	Yr 0	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Totals
	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
Total Required:					
Capital					
Resource					
Allowance for depreciation/impairment					
Existing Provision:					
Capital					
Resource					
Allowance for depreciation/impairment					
Additional Required:					
Capital					
Resource					
Allowance for depreciation/impairment					

Funding Body	Sum funded & % of total	Funding secured? Yes/No	If not secured, indicate status of negotiations		
	£ (%)				
	£ (%)				
	£ (%)				

Section 9: Project Management

- Explain the proposed project management structure (e.g. use of PRINCE 2), key management personnel and project timetable.
- Where relevant, indicate the proposed approach to procurement.
- Consider provision for benefits management and realisation.
- Identify any significant management issues e.g. legal, contractual, accommodation, staff or TUPE issues.
- Is any external consultancy support required.

Section 10: Monitoring and Evaluation Arrangements

- Indicate arrangements for regular monitoring of the project's progress.
- State proposed evaluation arrangements e.g. when it will happen, who will do it, what factors will be evaluated?.

Main Sections of Capital Bid Project Brief Form

Section A – completed for Bid SUBMISSION

i. Project Name, Description and Objectives

A brief summary of the project and its objectives i.e. what is to be achieved by the project.

ii. Justification and Reasons for the Project

Setting out the reasons why the project is necessary and how it helps meet Corporate and Service Objectives and addresses items in the Deputy Leader's annual report. The various options that have been considered and the recommended option are also set out.

iii. Scoring against the Corporate Plan Priorities and Other Issues

To ensure that projects are appraised on a consistent and objective basis and are aligned to Corporate Plan priorities. Other important issues that are not specifically mentioned in the Corporate Plan are also taken into account e.g. Health and Safety and Risk Management issues. Weightings are applied to the scores with Corporate Plan priorities and statutory requirements carrying the most weight.

iv. Cost and Funding Profile

Estimates of the costs of the project and timing of these costs and the funding sources investigated and identified.

v. Additional Revenue Budget Impact of the Project Lifetime revenue costs for the project

vi. Consultation

That has taken place with community groups etc.

Section B – completed for successful Bids

vii. Project Outcomes and Scope

The expected and required deliverable/products/outcomes that the proposed project must create or acquire and the major areas, functions and processes to be addressed during the project, is that, what is "in" and what is "out".

viii. Outline Project Plan

The main stages of the project are set out together with target dates for their completion.

ix. Project Constraints, Assumptions and risks

Restrictions and expectations on time, resources, funding and/or the eventual outcome and the key risks facing the project.

x. Outline Business Benefits/Business Case

A brief summary of the business benefits that are expected to stem from the project.

xi. Spend Profile

Giving expected spend figures for the four quarters of each financial year.

xii. Planning Permission and Building Regulations Approval

Confirming whether or not planning permission is required and has been granted and whether Building Regulations approval has been granted. If either has not been granted the timescale for making the submission must be stated.



Corporate Land & Built Assets Group

Terms of Reference (draft)

The purpose of this document is to set the overall terms of reference for the *Corporate Land & Built Assets Group* (the *Group*). This document covers:

- 1. Context to the Group
- 2. Vision
- 3. Purpose & Role of the Group
- 4. *Group* Membership & Operation
- 5. Accountability
- 6. Adoption and Review of Terms of Reference
- 7. Governance Model

1. Context to the *Group*

The Corporate Land & Built Assets Group is an advisory high level working group that sits alongside the Corporate Capital Allocation & Review Group, considering that group's work on the capital programme and the project proposals arising from the corporate programme.

2. Group Vision

"We will have implemented a radical programme of building and property management projects that have revolutionised the utilisation of Council assets and supported corporate programmes to enable the transformation of key services for the public."

"Our estate will be integrated with our services at a corporate level, meaning it will be well maintained, fit for purpose, financially sustainable and flexible in use across multiple services."

3. *Purpose* & Role of the *Group*

- **3.1** The *purpose* of the G*roup* is to ensure, through effective and holistic management practices, the best use of the authority's land and built assets (inc. disposals).
- **3.2** The role of the *Group* is to:
 - **3.2.1** Review and recommend the Authority's Asset Management Plan (which will include the Authority's asset improvement & maintenance strategy).
 - **3.2.2** To review the full business case of programmes, projects or tasks (proposals) arising from the corporate programme and ensure each business case is in line with:
 - Statutory requirements,
 - Council's agreed priorities,
 - Corporate Asset Management Plan,
 - Identified opportunities for shared use of assets by a range of services and service providers,
 - and Contribute to improved service delivery, sustainability, cost reduction.

3.2.3 To challenge/review:

- All bids for external revenue funds.
- Asset related revenue spend & project delivery on a regular basis.
- Asset related management practices within the Authority.
- Proposed asset usage and the potential for a variety of services to be delivered from sites ensuring maximum usage of Council assets.
- The potential for asset rationalisation and disposals.
- Any bids for asset acquisitions.

3.3 Aims of the *Group*

- Ensure that projects and strategies relating to land and built assets make corporate sense across all programmes and that they maximise the benefits to service delivery and income generation.
- To ensure confidence in the disposal and purchase of land and built assets across all programmes.
- To drive change and improvement in the way land and built assets are used and managed to assist with the delivery of the Corporate Plan.

4. *Group* Membership & Operation

4.1 Membership

Director/SLT member (chair) Head of Function (Resources) (vice chair) Deputy Chief Executive Senior Officer (Property/Assets) Asset Strategy Manager

<u>Group Support</u> Group Support Officer

Additional support will be provided by representatives of key Council departments and Programmes/Projects as required.

4.2 Operation

The *Group* will meet bi-monthly in its first year review. The *Group* will be a quorate where 3 members are present, including the SLT member. Meetings will have a written agenda with members having the opportunity to propose agenda items in advance of the meeting. All meetings will have concise minutes taken consisting of a summary record of key discussion points, decisions and recommendations reached, and actions agreed and an action log will be maintained by the *Group* Support Officer.

4.3 Reporting

The *Group* findings will be reported to relevant *Programme Boards*, the Capital Allocation & Review Group and other boards/groups as discovered necessary.

The *Group* will report annually to SLT/Penaethiaid early in the new financial year on the business of the previous year, this report will include the updated Asset Management Plan.

4.4 Information sharing

The *Group* will be advised by the *Corporate Programme Manager* (*CPM*) of business cases (and other asset related bids where appropriate) which may need the scrutiny of the *Group*. The CPM will also supply information on the progress of previously sanctioned projects.

The *Group* will be advised by the Head of Function (Resources) with up to date information on project spend, and revenue budget spend (as they relate to asset management). In addition, the Senior Officer (Property/Assets) will provide updates on the progress of current revenue related activities.

5 Accountability

5.1 Decision Making

In broad terms, decisions within budget and within the policy framework shall fall within the remit of the Executive, and matters relating to staff / management issues will fall to the SLT.

5.2 Urgent Matters

In the event that urgent matters require the attention of the *Group* outside of the schedule of meetings, the *Group Support Officer* will raise an *'Issue'* via e-mail to all *Group Members*, which will include the following detail:

- the nature of the matter;
- the time constraints;
- the consequences if not addressed within the time constraints;
- the proposed mitigating actions for the Group to consider; and
- the date that *Group* Members are required to respond.

The responses will then be considered by the *Chair* and *Vice-Chair*, who will then agree and inform the *Group Members* and the *Group Support Officer* of the recommended course of action. It is the responsibility of the *Group Support Officer* to seek advice from the *Corporate Programme Manager* and ensure he/she is kept informed.

6 Adoption and Review of Terms of Reference

These Terms of Reference will be reviewed and finalised at a meeting of the Group.

These Terms of Reference will be reviewed initially quarterly, moving to annually.

